

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/864,309	05/25/2001	Shigeyuki Uzawa	862.C2239	2803
5514 7.	590 06/02/2004		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			JARRETT, RYAN A	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1		2125		

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	\
09/864,309	. UZAWA ET AL.	,/4
Examiner	Art Unit	- 1
Ryan A. Jarrett	2125	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

	LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
	Other:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>filed 2/5/04</u> .
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	Claim(s) rejected:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
5.⊠	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	NOTE:
(d)) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
(c)) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
` '	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
, ,	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
-	
ee have ee unde (2) as se	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension te been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if iled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
b) 🛭	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
inal re conditi	fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

BEST AVAILABLE ACPAPET No. 05312004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the exhauster of Ueda et al. is not capable of creating a vacuum inside of the port section. However, Webster's defines exhaust in the following manner: "to create a vacuum in". Secondly, Applicant argues that Ueda et al. does not disclose an inert gas (noble gas), but rather a clean gas (air). However, it is noted that this is an apparatus claim, and "supplying an inert gas" is a functional limitation. The supply mechanism of Ueda et al. is capable of supplying a noble gas. See MPEP 2173.05(g).

BEST AVAILABLE COPY